Annotated Bibliography Rubric

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Category | A | B | C | D | | F |
| Quality/Reliability of sources (10 pts) | All sources cited can be considered reliable and/or trustworthy | Most sources cited can be considered reliable and/or trustworthy | Some sources can be considered reliable and/or trustworthy | Few sources cited can be considered reliable and/or trustworthy | | Little or no reliable nor trustworthy sources cited |
| Variety of Sources  (10 pts) | Excellent variety of sources; cites more than three types of sources or all sources are different | Good variety of sources; cites three types of sources | Adequate variety of sources; cites two types of sources | Poor variety of sources; cites only one type of source. | |  |
| Quality of Annotations (25 points) | | | | | | |
| Summary (10 pts) | Annotations provide a thorough summary. | Annotations provide a good summary. | Annotations provide a basic summary. | Annotations provide little summary. | Annotations provide no summary. | |
| Evaluations (15 pts) | Relevance to research is clearly and thoroughly explained. | Relevance to research is explained. | Relevance to research is adequately explained. | Relevance to research is loosely explained. | Relevance to research is not explained. | |
| MLA documentation and formatting (5 pts) | There are no errors in documentation and format. | There are few errors in documenta-tion and format. | There are some errors in documenta-tion and format | There are many and frequent errors in documenta-tion and formatting | There is no adherence to MLA documenta-tion and formatting. | |

A 45 – 50 points

B 40 – 44 points

C 35 – 39 points

D 30 – 34 points

F 10 – 29 points